

2015s-07

Does Retirement Make you Happy? A Simultaneous Equations Approach

Raquel Fonseca, Arie Kapteyn, Jinkook Lee, Gema Zamarro

Série Scientifique/Scientific Series

2015s-07

Does Retirement Make you Happy? A Simultaneous Equations Approach

Raquel Fonseca, Arie Kapteyn, Jinkook Lee, Gema Zamarro

Série Scientifique Scientific Series

Montréal Février 2015

© 2015 Raquel Fonseca, Arie Kapteyn, Jinkook Lee, Gema Zamarro. Tous droits réservés. All rights reserved. Reproduction partielle permise avec citation du document source, incluant la notice ©. Short sections may be quoted without explicit permission, if full credit, including © notice, is given to the source.



CIRANO

Le CIRANO est un organisme sans but lucratif constitué en vertu de la Loi des compagnies du Québec. Le financement de son infrastructure et de ses activités de recherche provient des cotisations de ses organisations-membres, d'une subvention d'infrastructure du Ministère de l'Économie, de l'Innovation et des Exportations, de même que des subventions et mandats obtenus par ses équipes de recherche.

CIRANO is a private non-profit organization incorporated under the Québec Companies Act. Its infrastructure and research activities are funded through fees paid by member organizations, an infrastructure grant from the Ministère de l' l'Économie, de l'Innovation et des Exportations, and grants and research mandates obtained by its research teams.

Les partenaires du CIRANO

Partenaire majeur

Ministère de l'Économie, de l'Innovation et des Exportations

Partenaires corporatifs

Autorité des marchés financiers Banque de développement du Canada Banque du Canada Banque Laurentienne du Canada

Banque Nationale du Canada

Bell Canada

BMO Groupe financier

Caisse de dépôt et placement du Québec

Fédération des caisses Desjardins du Québec

Financière Sun Life, Québec

Gaz Métro

Hydro-Québec

Industrie Canada

Intact

Investissements PSP

Ministère des Finances et de l'Économie

Power Corporation du Canada

Rio Tinto Alcan

Ville de Montréal

Partenaires universitaires

École Polytechnique de Montréal École de technologie supérieure (ÉTS)

HEC Montréal

Institut national de la recherche scientifique (INRS)

McGill University

Université Concordia

Université de Montréal

Université de Sherbrooke

Université du Québec

Université du Québec à Montréal

Université Laval

Le CIRANO collabore avec de nombreux centres et chaires de recherche universitaires dont on peut consulter la liste sur son site web

Les cahiers de la série scientifique (CS) visent à rendre accessibles des résultats de recherche effectuée au CIRANO afin de susciter échanges et commentaires. Ces cahiers sont écrits dans le style des publications scientifiques. Les idées et les opinions émises sont sous l'unique responsabilité des auteurs et ne représentent pas nécessairement les positions du CIRANO ou de ses partenaires.

This paper presents research carried out at CIRANO and aims at encouraging discussion and comment. The observations and viewpoints expressed are the sole responsibility of the authors. They do not necessarily represent positions of CIRANO or its partners.

ISSN 2292-0838 (en ligne)



Does Retirement Make you Happy? A Simultaneous Equations Approach *

Raquel Fonseca[†], Arie Kapteyn[‡], Jinkook Lee, Gema Zamarro

Résumé/abstract

Continued improvements in life expectancy and fiscal insolvency of public pensions have led to an increase in pension entitlement ages in several countries, but its consequences for subjective well-being are largely unknown. Financial consequences of retirement complicate the estimation of effects of retirement on subjective well-being as financial circumstances may influence subjective well-being, and therefore, the effects of retirement are likely to be confounded by the change in income. At the same time, unobservable determinants of income are probably related with unobservable determinants of subjective wellbeing, making income possibly endogenous if used as control in subjective wellbeing regressions. To address these issues, we estimate a simultaneous model of retirement, income, and subjective well-being while accounting for time effects and unobserved individual effects. Public pension arrangements (replacement rates, eligibility rules for early and full retirement) serve as instrumental variables. We use data from HRS and SHARE for the period 2004-2010.

We find that depressive symptoms are negatively related to retirement while life satisfaction is positively related. Remarkably, income does not seem to have a significant effect on depression or life satisfaction. This is in contrast with the correlations in the raw data that show significant relations between income and depression and life satisfaction. This suggests that accounting for the endogeneity of income in equations explaining depression or life satisfaction is important.

Mots clés/Key words: Well-being, Retirement, Institutions, Simultaneous Equation Approach.

Codes JEL: I3, J26

^{*}The research reported herein was pursuant to a grant from the U.S. Social Security Administration (SSA), funded as part of the Michigan Retirement Research Consortium (RRC). The findings and conclusions expressed are solely those of the authors and do not represent the views of SSA, or any agency of the federal government. The authors also acknowledge support by the National Institute on Aging under grant 2P01AG022481 and 2R01 AG030153. This research is also part of the program of the Industrial Alliance Research Chair on the Economics of Demographic Change.

[†] Université du Québec à Montréal (UQÀM), CIRANO and RAND Corporation.

[‡] University of Southern California, NBER, IZA, and Netspar.

1. Introduction

Continued improvements in life expectancy and fiscal insolvency of public pensions have led to an increase in pension entitlement ages in several countries, but its consequences for subjective well-being are largely unknown. As subjective well-being is known to influence health, if retirement has adverse effects on subjective well-being, it is plausible that the fiscal savings created by delaying retirement may be at least partly offset by increased health expenditures driven by worsened subjective well-being.

Labor force participation may affect subjective well-being in a number of different ways. Specifically, there is solid evidence that unemployment can adversely affect subjective well-being (i.e., Lucas et al. (2004), Clark and Oswald (1994) and Winkelmann and Winkelmann (1998)), but some mixed evidence on how retirement might do so.² In the U.S. evidence is mixed, finding both positive (Charles, 2004) and negative (Dave, Rashad, & Spasojevic, 2008; Szinovacz & Davey, 2004) retirement effects. In contrast, consistently positive effects are found in England (Johnston & Lee, 2009; Mein et al., 2004) and Finland (Okasanen et al., 2011; Salokangas & Joukamaa, 1991), while no effect is found in the Republic of Korea or continental Europe for depression measures (Lee & Smith, 2009; Coe & Zamarro, 2011), suggesting potential cross-country variations in retirement effects on subjective well-being.³

_

¹ An exception is Grip et al. (2012) who found a strong and persistent negative effect on psychological well-being from a change in the Dutch civil servants' pension system that affected the pension age eligibility of some cohorts but not of others.

² The same mixed results are found in the psychology literature where the debate on how the retirement affects the wellbeing started a bit earlier than in economics research (see Pinquart and Schindle (2007) and their citations)

³ Several of these studies have tried to circumvent endogeneity problems by using an instrumental variables approach. For example, Charles (2004), Johnson and Lee (2009), and Coe and Zamarro (2011) used pension entitlement age as an instrument; Dave, Rashad, and Spasojevic (2008) used spouse's retirement status; and Lee and Smith (2009) used mandatory retirement policy as instruments. However, up to this point there is no cross-country

Two other branches of the literature relate retirement and well-being. Recently a number of papers have found that retirement could have positive or negative effects on wellbeing depending on how the transition to retirement happens. For example, Clark and Fawaz (2009) using European and British data sets find that the type of job in which retirees were employed before retirement affects wellbeing after retirement. Similarly, Calvo and al. (2007) and Bonsang and Klein (2011) find that wellbeing is affected by whether the individual perceives the transition to retirement as voluntary or not. A different literature relates well-being and aging. Several papers find a U-shaped relationship between life satisfaction and age (see Blanchflower and Oswald, (2008), De Ree, J. and R. Alessie (2011) and van Landeghem (2012) among others). Although De Ree and Alessie (2011) note that age effects cannot be identified without imposing cohort effect assumptions.

In Fonseca et al. (2014), we examined the effect of retirement on subjective well-being within 12 countries, using panel data from the U.S. Health and Retirement Study (HRS) and the Survey of Health, Ageing, and Retirement in Europe (SHARE). In estimating retirement effects, we accounted for potential reverse causation of poor subjective well-being on retirement, using an instrumental variables approach by exploiting variations in public pension eligibility due to country and cohort specific retirement ages (early and full entitlement ages). Here, we provide a more comprehensive analysis of the interplay of work/retirement, financial well-being, and subjective well-being.

Financial consequences of retirement complicate the estimation of effects of retirement on subjective well-being as financial circumstances, both in absolute and relative terms (i.e.

one's financial means in comparison with others), may influence subjective well-being, and therefore, the effect of retirement is likely to be confounded by the change in income. At the same time, unobservable determinants of income are probably related with unobservable determinants of subjective wellbeing, making income possibly endogenous if used as control in subjective wellbeing regressions. To address these issues, we estimate a simultaneous model, explicitly modeling the interplay of retirement, income, and subjective well-being while still using our instrumental variables approach for retirement decisions based on public pension eligibility. By estimating the complete system of equations we are able to get a better understanding of the role of retirement induced through Social Security or pension eligibility in determining the subjective as well as financial well-being of the elderly.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 the data are described, while in Section 3 we describe the model we are estimating. Section 4 presents and discusses estimation results. To gain further insights in the nature of the estimated relationships we present some simulations in Section 5. Section 6 concludes.

2. Data

This paper makes use of data from HRS and SHARE for a common period of observation (2004-2010). For HRS there are currently eleven waves of data (1992 – 2012) available. The HRS was designed to cover a wide range of demographics, health, work and retirement, income and assets, as well as family and social networks. SHARE was developed using the HRS model to collect conceptually comparable data across different countries in these key domains. Lee (2007) provides a detailed discussion of the comparability of the surveys. Currently, three waves of SHARE (2004, 2006 and 2010) are available. The first wave of SHARE was collected in 2004 in eleven European countries (Austria, Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, the Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland and Sweden). The 2008 SHARE wave was devoted to life-

history interviews and did not include subjective well-being measures.

All surveys contain several questions that can be used as indicators of subjective and financial well-being. Table 1 summarizes the available information and comparability of subjective wellbeing questions. Although not all surveys include exactly identical questions on subjective wellbeing, they all include questions that cover comparable domains and harmonized versions of variables can be constructed for cross-country comparison. Comparable measures of total household income can also be constructed. In this respect, the project benefits from ongoing efforts to harmonize aging datasets around the world (http://www.g2aging.org/).

Table 1: Data on Subjective Well-being in HRS and SHARE

| | HRS | SHARE |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Well-Being Measure | | |
| Life satisfaction | Diener scale (2004-2010 Leave Behind Questionnaire, LBQ); a single-item overall life satisfaction (2008-2010 Core interview) | A single-item overall life satisfaction question (2006- 2010 Core Interview) |
| Depressive symptoms | 8 items CESD (1994-2010 Core interview) | 12 items EURO-D (2004-2010 Core); 8-item CESD questions to a random sub-sample (2006 Core) |

The single-item overall life satisfaction question in SHARE reads as follows:

On a scale from 0 to 10 where 0 means completely dissatisfied and 10 means completely satisfied, how satisfied are you with your life?

0..10

As noted in Table 1, this question is only available in two waves: 2006 and 2010. The singleitem life satisfaction question included in HRS waves 2008 and 2010 reads: Please think about your life-as-a-whole. How satisfied are you with it?

Are you completely satisfied, very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all

satisfied?

1. Completely satisfied

2. Very satisfied

3. Somewhat satisfied

4. Not very satisfied

5. Not at all satisfied

satisfaction by country:

8. Don't know; not ascertained

9. Refused

Although the formulation of the life satisfaction questions in HRS and SHARE is similar, the response scales are not. We have first reverse coded the HRS scale so that it runs from "Not at all satisfied" to "Completely Satisfied". Next we have recoded the SHARE responses as follows: 0, 1, 2 are recoded as 1; 3, 4 are recoded as 2; 5, 6 are recoded as 3; 7, 8 are recoded as 4; 9,10 are recoded as 5. After recoding we obtain the following distribution of self-reported life

Table 2: Distribution of Life Satisfaction by Country (%)

| | Lit | fe Satisfa | action | | | |
|---------------|-----|------------|--------|------|------|-------|
| Country | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total |
| | | | | | | |
| Austria | 1.3 | 3.3 | 15.0 | 42.3 | 38.2 | 100 |
| Belgium | 0.4 | 1.4 | 10.7 | 61.0 | 26.5 | 100 |
| Denmark | 0.3 | 0.6 | 6.0 | 37.0 | 56.2 | 100 |
| France | 1.3 | 2.7 | 20.1 | 54.6 | 21.4 | 100 |
| Germany | 0.8 | 2.6 | 16.4 | 47.8 | 32.5 | 100 |
| Greece | 1.0 | 2.6 | 25.3 | 56.7 | 14.4 | 100 |
| Italy | 1.9 | 2.9 | 18.2 | 52.0 | 25.0 | 100 |
| Netherlands | 0.2 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 68.2 | 26.6 | 100 |
| Spain | 1.5 | 4.2 | 18.2 | 48.4 | 27.7 | 100 |
| Sweden | 0.5 | 0.9 | 7.4 | 42.3 | 49.0 | 100 |
| Switzerland | 0.1 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 41.2 | 50.4 | 100 |
| United States | 0.9 | 3.1 | 24.4 | 46.4 | 25.3 | 100 |
| Total | 0.8 | 2.4 | 17.6 | 49.2 | 29.9 | 100 |

The HRS has included an 8-item binary version of CESD (yes/no/DK/RF) in core interviews during 1994 – 2010. This 8-item measure with binary response categories constitutes a sub-set of the original 20-item CESD scale which uses a 4-point Likert-scale. Based on the advice of mental health practitioners who compared this modified version of the CESD scale with structured interviews evaluating major depression, a cutoff threshold of 3 (out of 8) is often taken as a clinically important level of psychological distress. Thus, based on this clinical threshold, we created a binary variable of depression. Similarly, for SHARE, we created a binary variable based on the recommended clinical threshold for the Euro-D. Table 3 presents the prevalence of depression according to the constructed binary measures in the various countries in our sample.

We note that depression is substantially less prevalent in the U.S. than in the European countries, according to this measure. This suggests that the depression measures might not be

strictly comparable. In the analyses that follow we will always include country dummies that hopefully will correct for the lack of comparability.

Table 3: Depression by Country

| | Depre | ssed | |
|---------------|-------|------|-------|
| Country | No | Yes | Total |
| | | | |
| Austria | 81.1 | 18.9 | 100 |
| Belgium | 75.8 | 24.3 | 100 |
| Denmark | 84.8 | 15.2 | 100 |
| France | 68.4 | 31.6 | 100 |
| Germany | 81.3 | 18.7 | 100 |
| Greece | 81.5 | 18.5 | 100 |
| Italy | 69.3 | 30.7 | 100 |
| Netherlands | 83.0 | 17.0 | 100 |
| Spain | 68.1 | 31.9 | 100 |
| Sweden | 82.5 | 17.5 | 100 |
| Switzerland | 83.7 | 16.3 | 100 |
| United States | 87.4 | 12.6 | 100 |
| | | | |
| Total | 82.3 | 17.7 | 100 |

The key outcome variables considered in this paper are retirement status, household income, depression, and life satisfaction. Table 4 presents the correlations between these four variables by country. Although the correlations are often not very large in absolute value, the signs of the correlations are identical across all countries, with the exception of the correlation between retirement and life satisfaction in the U.S., which is slightly positive, whereas in other countries it is negative. We see positive correlations between retirement and depression and between log-income and life satisfaction; we observe negative correlations between retirement and log-income; retirement and life satisfaction; log-income and life satisfaction; depression and life satisfaction.

Table 4: Correlations Between Key Outcome Variables

| | Retirement, Log-income | Retirement, Depression | Retirement, Life Satisfaction | Log- income, Depression | Log- income, Life Satisfaction | Depression, Life Satisfaction |
|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Austria | -0.13 | 0.09 | -0.05 | -0.11 | 0.14 | -0.41 |
| Belgium | -0.11 | 0.04 | -0.01 | -0.07 | 0.11 | -0.28 |
| Denmark | -0.32 | 0.01 | -0.04 | -0.04 | 0.08 | -0.29 |
| France | -0.18 | 0.05 | -0.08 | -0.11 | 0.21 | -0.30 |
| Germany | -0.14 | 0.06 | -0.07 | -0.07 | 0.21 | -0.32 |
| Greece | -0.28 | 0.15 | -0.15 | -0.09 | 0.22 | -0.26 |
| Italy | -0.14 | 0.09 | -0.09 | -0.10 | 0.15 | -0.35 |
| Netherlands | -0.24 | 0.09 | -0.04 | -0.10 | 0.11 | -0.26 |
| Spain | -0.24 | 0.17 | -0.07 | -0.10 | 0.13 | -0.38 |
| Sweden | -0.22 | 0.08 | -0.04 | -0.08 | 0.04 | -0.28 |
| Switzerland | -0.16 | 0.07 | -0.04 | -0.11 | 0.13 | -0.31 |
| United States | -0.35 | 0.08 | 0.02 | -0.16 | 0.13 | -0.34 |
| Total | -0.28 | 0.10 | -0.03 | -0.15 | 0.18 | -0.31 |

Table 5 presents descriptive statistics by country. We observe substantial differences in retirement rates across countries, with Italy and Austria having the highest retirement rates and the U.S., Switzerland, and Denmark the lowest. Log-income and log-wealth vary substantially across countries. To the extent that this reflects exchange rate effects these will be absorbed by additive country dummies in our model. Probably the most striking difference across countries is the high prevalence of major health conditions in the U.S. in comparison to the European countries, while also the number of ADLs is larger in the U.S. than in Europe. This may be partly explained by the somewhat higher ages of respondents in the HRS sample.

Table 5: Descriptive Statistics

| Country | Retired | Age | Female | Log- Household income | Log- Household Wealth | At least one ADL | Major Health Condition | Less than High School | High School | Some College |
|------------------|---------|------|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Austria | 0.82 | 66.1 | 0.56 | 10.08 | 11.08 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.61 | 0.21 |
| Belgium | 0.72 | 65.3 | 0.51 | 10.16 | 12.10 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.25 |
| Denmark | 0.59 | 64.9 | 0.54 | 11.78 | 13.43 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.49 | 0.37 |
| France | 0.70 | 65.4 | 0.55 | 10.23 | 12.03 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.21 |
| Germany | 0.67 | 64.9 | 0.52 | 10.20 | 11.35 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.71 | 0.28 |
| Greece | 0.66 | 63.9 | 0.47 | 9.62 | 11.66 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 0.48 | 0.35 | 0.17 |
| Italy | 0.80 | 65.8 | 0.49 | 9.85 | 11.94 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.07 |
| Netherlands | 0.68 | 64.3 | 0.52 | 10.33 | 11.51 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.63 | 0.23 |
| Spain | 0.75 | 66.8 | 0.49 | 9.54 | 11.94 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.64 | 0.28 | 0.08 |
| Sweden | 0.63 | 66.6 | 0.53 | 11.97 | 13.48 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.23 |
| Switzerland | 0.59 | 65.5 | 0.54 | 11.07 | 12.64 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.73 | 0.10 |
| United States | 0.62 | 68.5 | 0.56 | 10.62 | 12.02 | 0.15 | 0.43 | 0.23 | 0.54 | 0.23 |
| Total | 0.65 | 66.9 | 0.54 | 10.54 | 12.07 | 0.11 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.52 | 0.22 |

In the analysis we will use several institutional parameters that vary across countries. Table 6 shows replacement rates at full retirement age in the various countries. The replacement rates are net of taxes for a median earner with an uninterrupted career. Obviously individual replacement rates may vary substantially, but for the purpose of international comparison this information is probably about as good as it gets.

Table 6: Replacement Rates at Full Retirement Age

| Country | Male_2004 | Female_2004 | Male_2006 | Female_2006 | Male_2010 | Female_2010 |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | | | | | | |
| Austria | 93.2 | 84.6 | 90.3 | 90.3 | 89.9 | 89.9 |
| Belgium | 63.1 | 63.1 | 63.7 | 63.7 | 63.8 | 63.8 |
| Denmark | 54.1 | 54.1 | 91.3 | 91.3 | 86.9 | 86.9 |
| France | 68.8 | 68.8 | 65.7 | 65.7 | 60.7 | 60.7 |
| Germany | 71.8 | 71.8 | 61.3 | 61.3 | 58.5 | 58.5 |
| Greece | 99.9 | 99.9 | 110.8 | 110.8 | 70.7 | 70.7 |
| Italy | 88.8 | 88.8 | 74.8 | 58.1 | 92.4 | 92.4 |
| Netherlands | 84.1 | 84.1 | 103.2 | 103.2 | 100.7 | 100.7 |
| Spain | 88.3 | 88.3 | 84.7 | 84.7 | 80.1 | 80.1 |
| Sweden | 68.2 | 68.2 | 64.1 | 64.1 | 53.6 | 53.6 |
| Switzerland | 67.3 | 68.0 | 64.5 | 65.3 | 65.4 | 64.4 |
| United States | 51 | 51 | 44.8 | 44.8 | 48.5 | 48.5 |

Sources: http://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=ELSPENSIONS#; Pensions at a Glance, 2005. The replacement rates are net replacement rates (after tax) at the nations' full retirement age for a median earner who entered the labor force at the age of 20 and experienced an uninterrupted career.

Another important institutional variable is the age at which one may be eligible for early or full retirement. Both full and early retirement ages are given in Table 7. The ages for the U.S, refer to Social Security claiming ages, rather than retirement; 62 is the earliest age at which one can claim Social Security. One can claim Social Security at any time between 62 and seventy and a half, with an actuarial adjustment for claiming earlier or later than the full retirement age. Receipt of Social Security benefits has no implications for one's ability to be gainfully employed. For comparison purposes we treat the U.S. early claiming age and full retirement age similarly to the treatment of early and full retirement ages in the European countries.

Table 7: Early and Full Retirement Ages (full retirement ages in parentheses)

| | 2002 | | 20 | 004 | 2006 | | 2008 | | 2010 | |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Country | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| Austria | 60 (65) | 57 (60) | 65 (65) | 60 (60) | 65 (65) | 65 (65) | 65 (65) | 65 (65) | 62(65) | 60(65) |
| Belgium | 60(65) | 60 (65) | 60(65) | 60 (65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) |
| Denmark | 65 (65) | 65 (65) | 65 (65) | 65 (65) | 65 (65) | 65 (65) | 65 (65) | 65 (65) | 67(67) | 67(67) |
| France | 57 (60) | 57(60) | 60 (60) | 60(60) | 60 (60) | 60 (60) | 61(61) | 61(61) | 56-60(65) | 56-60(65) |
| Germany | 63(65) | 63(65) | 63(65) | 63(65) | 63(65) | 63(65) | 63(67) | 63(67) | 63(67) | 63(67) |
| Greece | 60(65) | 55(60) | 57(65) | 57(65) | 55(65) | 55(65) | 55(65) | 55(65) | 55(65) | 55(65) |
| Italy | 57(65) | 57(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(60) | 60(65) | 60(60) | 61(65) | 60(60) |
| Netherlands | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 65(65) | 65(65) |
| Spain | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 60(65) | 61(65) | 61(65) |
| Sweden | 61(65) | 61(65) | 61(65) | 61(65) | 61(65) | 61(65) | 61(65) | 61(65) | 61(65) | 61(65) |
| Switzerland | 63(65) | 62(64) | 63(65) | 62(64) | 63(65) | 62(64) | 63(65) | 62(64) | 63(65) | 62(64) |
| United States* | 62(65) | 62(65) | 62(65+) | 62(65+) | 62(65+) | 62(65+) | 62(65+) | 62(65+) | 62(65+) | 62(65+) |

^{*}Full retirement age depends on birth year

Sources: OECD Pensions at a Glance several years.

3. Model

We consider a system of four equations. The first equation explains retirement; the second equation models log-income. The third and fourth equations explain depression and life satisfaction. The specifications are as follows:

The Labor Supply Equation:
$$R_{ict} = \rho_0 + \rho_1 X_{ict} + \rho_2 I_{ict}^L + \rho_{ci} + e_{ict}$$
 (1)

The Income Equation:
$$\ln Y_{ict} = \gamma_1 X_{ict} + \gamma_2 R_{ict} + \gamma_3 I_{ct}^{\gamma} + \gamma_{ci} + \varepsilon_{ict}$$
 (2)

The Subjective Well-being Equations: For both life satisfaction and depression, we will estimate linear models of the form: $SW_{ict} = \alpha_1 \ln Y_{ict} + \alpha_2 X_{ict} + \alpha_3 R_{ict} + \alpha_4 I_{ct}^{SW} + \alpha_{ci} + \nu_{ict}$ (3)

Where $\ln Y_{ict}$ is the logarithm of current per capita household income of an individual i, who lives in country c, at time t, SW_{ict} denotes a given measure of subjective well-being (life Satisfaction or depression), and R_{ict} takes the value one if the individual is retired at time t and

zero otherwise. X_{ict} is the set of individual and household explanatory variables and includes: gender, ethnicity, age, time effects, education, marital status, and health and disability measures. welfare program generosity or average replacement rates in retirement and unemployment insurance programs. I_{ct}^{SW} denotes institutional variables that may affect subjective well-being directly (e.g. social safety nets), as opposed to indirectly through income ($\ln Y_{ict}$) . I_{ict}^L contains a set of indicator variables denoting retirement incentives. In order for these institutional variables to be validly excluded from (1) and (2) they must not have a direct effect on well-being. Their effect on well-being is only through the influence on retirement. In particular, we will use dummy variables indicating whether or not an individual is above the full or early retirement age: $I_{ict}^{L} = 1(age_{it} \ge Statutory_retirement_age_{ct})$. The inclusion of individual specific constant terms (ho_{ci} , γ_{ci} and $lpha_{ci}$) is important because it allows us to control for individual unobserved heterogeneity, as well as for time-invariant measurement error in reporting household income or wellbeing.

Ideally, one would want to estimate dynamic versions of equations (1)-(3). Given that we have only two waves of the life satisfaction variable in either survey, estimation of a dynamic panel data model with individual effects is out of the question. For depression, SHARE has three waves of data (and HRS has more) so a dynamic model can be estimated in principle, but identification would be tenuous. We limit ourselves therefore to static models until the 2012 wave of SHARE becomes available.

A Hausman specification test soundly rejects the random effects assumption of independence of the individual effects of the other right hand side variables in (1)-(3). So we

adhere to a fixed effects assumption, which allows the individual effects to correlate with the explanatory variables in the equations. A straight fixed effects estimation procedure would wipe out all non-time varying variables, such country dummies. Due to a result by Mundlak (1978), the estimated coefficients of the time varying explanatory variables are identical to what would be obtained in a random effects specification, while including the individual means of all time varying explanatory variables on the right hand side of the equations. It is easy to see that this also holds if one includes the non-time varying explanatory variables on the right hand side. The advantage of this procedure is that one then also obtains estimates of the effects of the non-time varying variables, such as country dummies, education, and gender.

Several of the right hand side variables may not be strictly comparable due to institutional differences, such as education. The same might be true for other variables, such as ADLs and major health conditions, as noted above. We therefore include several interactions of such variables with a dummy for the US. So the assumption is that these variables are reasonably comparable across European countries, but less so between the US and Europe. We could of course include full interactions of such variables with all country dummies, but we abstain from that, mainly for reasons of parsimony.

4. Results

The system is estimated with 2SLS, taking into account random individual effects in a Mundlak —type specification (xtivreg in STATA). Table 8 contains the estimation results. Before discussing the estimates, it is worth noting the exclusion restrictions that were imposed to identify the model. The first equation (the retirement equation) is a reduced form equation and hence no exclusion restrictions are needed. The equation for the logarithm of per capita household income has two endogenous explanatory variables on the right hand side: retirement

status (retired or not) and an interaction between being retired and the pension replacement rate. These two variables are instrumented by all exogenous variables in the model. The excluded variables are whether one is above full or early retirement age and an interaction of these variables with the pension replacement rate. So the assumption is that these variables do not exert a direct effect on household income, but only via the retirement variables.

The equations for being depressed (a binary variable, cf. Table 3) and life satisfaction (a variable taking on 5 possible values, cf. Table 2) have identical structures. Two explanatory variables are endogenous: retirement status and the logarithm of per capita household income. The exclusion restrictions are the same as for the log-household income equation. As noted, we estimate a Mundlak specification, so that we also have estimated coefficients for the individual means of all time varying variables. For brevity's sake these coefficients are not reported.

The estimated effects of individual and institutional variables on retirement are largely according to expectation (first column of Table 8). The probability of being retired decreases with education, but increases with age for most of the relevant age range (the quadratic age relation has a maximum at 76 years). Females are more likely to be retired. Major health conditions have a negative effect on the probability of being in the labor force, particularly in the U.S. Note that for the interpretation of coefficients for the U.S. these have to be added to the overall coefficient. So for instance the coefficient of "Major health condition" in the retirement equation is 0.008, while the coefficient for "Major health condition in the US" is .040. This means that the effect of a major health condition in the US is equal to .008+.040=.048. Difficulties with activities of daily living reduce the chances of being in the labor force in the U.S., but not in Europe. Being eligible for early or full retirement has a strong positive effect on the probability of being retired. The pension replacement rates appear to have only a limited

effect, possibly reflecting the fact that these may be poor proxies of the actual replacement rates faced by individuals. Their effects may also be absorbed by the country dummies. The country dummies generally suggest a higher retirement probability in the European countries than in the regions of the U.S. (The North East is the reference category). Residents outside the U.S. are more likely to be retired, presumably because many of these moved to a location outside the U.S. to spend their retirement years. The time dummies suggest an increase in retirement probability over time, which may reflect the effect of the evolving financial crisis.

Table 8: Estimation Results

| VARIABLES | Retired | Log HH-Income | Depressed | Life Satisfaction |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| | | | | |
| Retired | | -0.978*** | -0.061* | 0.179** |
| | | (0.067) | (0.032) | (0.086) |
| Pension rr*(Retired) | | 0.008*** | | |
| | | (0.000) | | |
| Unemployed | | -0.881*** | 0.106 | -0.596* |
| | | (0.210) | (0.105) | (0.305) |
| Unemployed*unempl. rr | | 1.073*** | -0.167 | 0.893* |
| | | (0.350) | (0.175) | (0.511) |
| Log-household net wealth | | 0.151*** | -0.003* | 0.027*** |
| | | (0.002) | (0.002) | (0.005) |
| d2006 | 0.024*** | 0.198*** | -0.001 | -0.149*** |
| | (0.006) | (0.014) | (0.007) | (0.040) |
| d2008 | 0.038*** | | 0.003 | -0.034 |
| | (0.010) | | (0.013) | (0.023) |
| d2010 | 0.072*** | 0.420*** | 0.012 | |
| | (0.016) | (0.039) | (0.020) | |
| Age | 0.049*** | -0.154*** | -0.016*** | -0.064*** |
| | (0.004) | , , | (0.005) | (0.017) |
| Age^2 divided by 100 | -0.032*** | 0.047*** | 0.012*** | 0.029*** |
| | (0.002) | , , | (0.003) | (0.010) |
| Female | 0.022*** | -0.158*** | 0.083*** | -0.012 |
| | (0.005) | (0.010) | (0.006) | ` ′ |
| Married | 0.014 | 0.257*** | -0.081*** | 0.258*** |
| | (0.010) | , , , | (0.013) | ` ′ |
| Married and Female | 0.011 | 0.182*** | 0.016 | 0.078 |
| | (0.013) | (0.030) | (0.016) | (0.050) |

| Callaga | 0.007*** | 0.363*** | 0.000*** | 0.205*** | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| College | -0.097*** | | -0.088*** | | |
| College in US | (0.005) -0.012 | (0.012) 0.292*** | (0.010) -0.034*** | (0.023) -0.109*** | |
| College in US | (0.008) | | | | |
| High Sahaal | -0.021*** | (0.016) 0.147*** | (0.011) -0.056*** | (0.027) 0.135*** | |
| High School | | | | | |
| High Cohool in HC | (0.004) -0.019*** | (0.008) 0.130*** | (0.005) -0.022*** | (0.013) -0.110*** | |
| High School in US | | | | | |
| ADI | (0.007) | (0.013) 0.291*** | (0.008) | (0.020) 0.115*** | |
| ADL | -0.142*** | | 0.014 | | |
| ADI :- IIC | (0.011) | (0.024) | (0.015) | (0.042) | |
| ADL in US | 0.175*** | -0.249*** | 0.057*** | -0.225*** | |
| Marian basilah ang diakan | (0.010) | (0.021) | (0.013) | (0.036) | |
| Major health condition | 0.008 | -0.073*** | 0.079*** | -0.071*** | |
| Marian bandah and disar ta TIC | (0.006) | (0.015) | (0.007) | (0.020) | |
| Major health condition in US | 0.040*** | 0.207*** | -0.058*** | -0.058* | |
| | (0.008) | (0.020) | (0.011) | (0.033) | |
| Austria | 0.185*** | -0.547*** | 0.091*** | 0.086* | |
| D. I | (0.013) | (0.030) | (0.016) | (0.048) | |
| Belgium | 0.204*** | -0.519*** | 0.160*** | 0.022 | |
| | (0.010) | (0.024) | (0.016) | (0.045) | |
| Denmark | 0.099*** | 0.864*** | -0.018 | 0.531*** | |
| | (0.011) | | (0.023) | (0.053) | |
| France | 0.126*** | -0.387*** | 0.207*** | -0.141*** | |
| | (0.010) | (0.022) | (0.014) | (0.041) | |
| Germany | 0.151*** | -0.463*** | 0.097*** | -0.003 | |
| | (0.011) | (0.023) | (0.015) | (0.044) | |
| Greece | 0.107*** | -1.264*** | 0.137*** | -0.297*** | |
| | (0.012) | (0.028) | (0.023) | (0.065) | |
| Italy | 0.169*** | | 0.234*** | -0.198*** | |
| | (0.011) | | (0.019) | (0.053) | |
| Netherlands | 0.181*** | -0.490*** | 0.079*** | 0.122*** | |
| a . | (0.011) | (0.026) | (0.014) | (0.041) | |
| Spain | 0.134*** | -1.124*** | 0.252*** | -0.197*** | |
| | (0.011) | (0.025) | (0.023) | (0.062) | |
| Sweden | 0.058*** | 1.135*** | -0.017 | 0.472*** | |
| | (0.010) | (0.020) | (0.026) | (0.057) | |
| Switzerland | 0.065*** | 0.363*** | 0.032** | 0.393*** | |
| 3.513 | (0.012) | (0.023) | (0.015) | (0.038) | |
| Midwest | 0.034 | -0.057 | 0.000 | 0.105 | |
| | (0.027) | (0.064) | (0.033) | (0.115) | |
| South | 0.049** | -0.065 | -0.005 | 0.085 | |
| | (0.021) | (0.050) | (0.026) | (0.090) | |
| West | -0.001 | -0.177*** | 0.032 | 0.123 | |
| | (0.025) | (0.060) | (0.031) | (0.098) | |
| Residing outside US | 0.346*** | -0.008 | 0.102 | -0.243 | |
| | (0.107) | (0.256) | (0.150) | (0.784) | |
| Above full ret age | 0.103*** | | | | |

| | (0.014) | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|
| Above early ret age | 0.154*** | | | |
| | (0.014) | | | |
| Pension rr*(above full ret. age) | 0.000 | | | |
| | (0.000) | | | |
| Pension rr*(above early ret. age) | -0.001*** | | | |
| | (0.000) | | | |
| Log-household income | | | 0.011 | -0.016 |
| | | | (0.008) | (0.016) |
| Constant | -3.726*** | 10.777*** | -0.379 | 5.371*** |
| | (0.101) | (0.354) | (0.295) | (0.727) |
| Observations | 120,775 | 120,775 | 116,254 | 63,661 |
| Number of groups | 52,028 | 52,028 | 51,006 | 40,429 |

Standard errors in parentheses; *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

The income equation (second column in Table 8) shows a negative effect of retirement on income, which may be compensated by a high pension replacement rate. For instance if the pension-replacement rate is 100% (as it is in the Netherlands) then the net effect of retirement on income is quite modest. A similar observation can be made with respect to the effect of unemployment. Being unemployed reduces income very substantially, but this can be compensated for by a high income replacement rate. In this age range income is monotonically decreasing in age (the parabola has a minimum at 164). Since we control for individual effects, we are implicitly also controlling for cohort effects. The effects of health conditions are somewhat difficult to interpret. ADLs have a negative effect in the U.S., but not in Europe, while for the presence of a major health condition the pattern is reversed. Caution needs to be exercised when interpreting country dummies as these are affected by exchange rates (except for the comparison of countries within the euro zone: Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Netherlands, Spain).

For the purpose of this paper, the final two columns in Table 8 are of most interest. It appears that being retired both reduces the likelihood of depression (though only significantly so at the 10% level) and improves life satisfaction. This is in contrast with the findings in Table 4, where we found that in the raw data retirement was negatively related to life satisfaction in all countries (with the sole exception of the U.S.), while retirement was positively related to depression in all countries. Generally the coefficients in the last two columns of Table 8 have opposite signs. For instance, unemployment increases the likelihood of depression, while it reduces life satisfaction. Note however that these effects are mitigated very substantially in the case of a high unemployment replacement rate. Being married, having a higher education, having more wealth, all increase life satisfaction and reduce the likelihood of depression. On the other hand, having a major health condition or experiencing difficulties with activities of daily living reduce life satisfaction and increase the likelihood of depression.

Notably, household income does not appear to have an appreciable effect on either depression or life satisfaction, once we control for all the other explanatory variables. This is also in marked contrast with the raw correlations relations reported in Table 4.

5. Simulations

To obtain a better understanding of the quantitative importance of the estimation results we use the estimated system to simulate the effects of some counterfactual policies. To have a valid benchmark to compare the simulations with, we first simulate outcomes for the dependent variables within sample and compare with the values observed in the data. The results of this exercise are presented in Table 9.

The second simulation investigates the effect of setting pension replacement rates to 100% in all countries. The results of this simulation are presented in Table 10

In contrast the third simulation investigates the effect of setting pension replacement rates to 40% in all countries. The results of this simulation are presented in Table 11

The fourth and final simulation considers the effect of raising early retirement ages to 67 and full retirement ages to 70. Results are given in Table 12.

Table 9 shows that the model does a reasonable job of reproducing sample statistics, with the exception of log-income, which seems to be systematically over-predicted.

The simulations in Tables 10 and 11 show only small effects of changes in replacement rates. In view of the small estimates of the coefficient estimates of the replacement variables this is not surprising. Incomes, which are directly affected by replacement rates, show most sensitivity to the level of replacement rates: high replacement rates lead to high incomes and low replacement rates lead to low incomes.

Table 9: Predicted and Observed Outcome Variables

| Country | Retirement predicted | Retirement observed | Log- income predicted | Log- income, observed | | Depression observed | Life Satisfaction predicted | Life Satisfaction observed |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Austria | 0.82 | 0.82 | 10.48 | 10.08 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 4.11 | 4.13 |
| Observations | 3365 | 3365 | 3365 | 3365 | 3337 | 3337 | 1839 | 1839 |

| Belgium | 0.72 | 0.72 | 10.65 | 10.16 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 4.11 | 4.12 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Observations | 8066 | 8066 | 8066 | 8066 | 8046 | 8046 | 4838 | 4838 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Denmark | 0.59 | 0.59 | 11.05 | 11.78 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 4.50 | 4.48 |
| Observations | 5257 | 5257 | 5257 | 5257 | 5225 | 5225 | 3857 | 3857 |
| _ | | | | | | | | |
| France | 0.70 | 0.70 | 10.64 | 10.23 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 3.91 | 3.92 |
| Observations | 7010 | 7010 | 7010 | 7010 | 6908 | 6908 | 4244 | 4244 |
| Germany | 0.66 | 0.67 | 10.59 | 10.2 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 4.08 | 4.09 |
| Observations | 6170 | 6170 | 6170 | 6170 | 6149 | 6149 | 3529 | 3529 |
| o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o | 0170 | 0170 | 0170 | 0170 | 011) | 0115 | 302) | 332) |
| Greece | 0.66 | 0.66 | 10.89 | 9.62 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 3.79 | 3.81 |
| Observations | 4395 | 4395 | 4395 | 4395 | 4395 | 4395 | 2400 | 2400 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Italy | 0.80 | 0.80 | 10.72 | 9.85 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 3.93 | 3.96 |
| Observations | 6088 | 6088 | 6088 | 6088 | 6055 | 6055 | 4161 | 4161 |
| NI d l l | 0.60 | 0.60 | 10.00 | 10.22 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 4.20 | 4.20 |
| Netherlands | 0.68 | 0.68 | 10.88 | 10.33 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 4.20 | 4.20 |
| Observations | 6522 | 6522 | 6522 | 6522 | 6501 | 6501 | 4007 | 4007 |
| Spain | 0.75 | 0.75 | 10.63 | 9.54 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 3.94 | 3.97 |
| Observations | 4587 | 4587 | 4587 | 4587 | 4537 | 4537 | 2940 | 2940 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Sweden | 0.63 | 0.63 | 10.78 | 11.97 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 4.40 | 4.38 |
| Observations | 6784 | 6784 | 6784 | 6784 | 6762 | 6762 | 4080 | 4080 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Switzerland | 0.59 | 0.59 | 10.8 | 11.07 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 4.41 | 4.41 |
| Observations | 3108 | 3108 | 3108 | 3108 | 3102 | 3102 | 2257 | 2257 |
| United | | | | | | | | |
| States | 0.62 | 0.62 | 10.33 | 10.62 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 3.92 | 3.92 |
| Observations | 59423 | 59423 | 59423 | 59423 | 55237 | 55237 | 25509 | 25509 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 0.66 | 0.65 | 10.54 | 10.54 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 4.05 | 4.05 |
| Observations | 120775 | 120775 | 120775 | 120775 | 116254 | 116254 | 63661 | 63661 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Table 10: Simulated outcomes with 100% replacement rates

| Country | Retirement simulated | Retirement predicted | log- income simulated | log- income, predicted | Depression simulated | Depression predicted | Life Satisfaction simulated | Life Satisfaction predicted |
|---------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Austria | 0.81 | 0.82 | 10.56 | 10.48 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 4.11 | 4.11 |
| Belgium | 0.71 | 0.72 | 10.87 | 10.65 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 4.11 | 4.11 |
| Denmark | 0.58 | 0.59 | 11.15 | 11.05 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 4.49 | 4.50 |

| France | 0.68 | 0.70 | 10.85 | 10.64 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 3.9 | 3.91 |
|------------------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Germany | 0.65 | 0.66 | 10.79 | 10.59 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 4.07 | 4.08 |
| Greece | 0.67 | 0.66 | 10.86 | 10.89 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 3.79 | 3.79 |
| Italy | 0.79 | 0.80 | 10.84 | 10.72 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 3.92 | 3.93 |
| Netherlands | 0.68 | 0.68 | 10.91 | 10.88 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 4.20 | 4.20 |
| Spain | 0.75 | 0.75 | 10.74 | 10.63 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 3.94 | 3.94 |
| Sweden | 0.62 | 0.63 | 10.98 | 10.78 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 4.39 | 4.40 |
| Switzerland | 0.58 | 0.59 | 10.97 | 10.8 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 4.40 | 4.41 |
| United States | 0.60 | 0.62 | 10.60 | 10.33 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 3.91 | 3.92 |
| Total | 0.64 | 0.66 | 10.74 | 10.54 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 4.04 | 4.05 |

Table 11: Simulated outcomes with 40% replacement rates

| Country | Retirement simulated | Retirement predicted | log- income simulated | log- income predicted | Depression simulated | Depression predicted | Life Satisfaction simulated | Life Satisfaction predicted |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Austria | 0.84 | 0.82 | 10.13 | 10.48 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 4.12 | 4.11 |
| Belgium | 0.73 | 0.72 | 10.50 | 10.65 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 4.12 | 4.11 |
| Denmark | 0.60 | 0.59 | 10.84 | 11.05 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| France | 0.71 | 0.70 | 10.48 | 10.64 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 3.91 | 3.91 |
| Germany | 0.67 | 0.66 | 10.45 | 10.59 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 4.08 | 4.08 |
| Greece | 0.69 | 0.66 | 10.51 | 10.89 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 3.80 | 3.79 |
| Italy | 0.82 | 0.80 | 10.43 | 10.72 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 3.93 | 3.93 |
| Netherlands | 0.69 | 0.68 | 10.56 | 10.88 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 4.20 | 4.20 |
| Spain | 0.77 | 0.75 | 10.34 | 10.63 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 3.95 | 3.94 |
| Sweden | 0.64 | 0.63 | 10.66 | 10.78 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 4.40 | 4.40 |
| Switzerland | 0.60 | 0.59 | 10.67 | 10.80 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 4.41 | 4.41 |
| United States | 0.62 | 0.62 | 10.28 | 10.33 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 3.92 | 3.92 |
| Total | 0.66 | 0.66 | 10.4 | 10.54 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 4.05 | 4.05 |

Table 12 shows the effects of increasing full and early retirement ages. The effects of changing eligibility ages on retirement is considerably larger than the effects of changing replacement rates. As one would expect, the effects are largest in the countries where currently eligibility ages are low, such as Austria, France, and Italy. To obtain more insight in the incidence of the effects, we break down the results by age in Tables 13-17. For each country, the

first row presents the simulated counterfactuals, while the second row presents the predicted insample values. The effects on retirement are large in the age range 55-69 in countries like Austria, Belgium, and France. In the remaining countries the effects show up at somewhat later ages. As one would expect, the effects on income are most noticeable in these same age ranges, but now the size of the effect also depends on replacement rates. For instance, in the Netherlands, the effect is quite modest.

Table 12: Simulated outcomes: Full Retirement Age is 79; Early Retirement age is 67

| Country | Retirement simulated | Retirement predicted | log- income simulated | log- income predicted | Depression simulated | Depression predicted | Life Satisfaction simulated | Life Satisfaction predicted |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Austria | 0.75 | 0.82 | 10.50 | 10.48 | 0.20 | 0.19 | 4.10 | 4.11 |
| Belgium | 0.68 | 0.72 | 10.66 | 10.65 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 4.10 | 4.11 |
| Denmark | 0.56 | 0.59 | 11.06 | 11.05 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 4.49 | 4.50 |
| France | 0.62 | 0.70 | 10.67 | 10.64 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 3.89 | 3.91 |
| Germany | 0.62 | 0.66 | 10.61 | 10.59 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 4.07 | 4.08 |
| Greece | 0.62 | 0.66 | 10.90 | 10.89 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 3.78 | 3.79 |
| Italy | 0.73 | 0.80 | 10.74 | 10.72 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 3.91 | 3.93 |
| Netherlands | 0.66 | 0.68 | 10.89 | 10.88 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 4.19 | 4.20 |
| Spain | 0.71 | 0.75 | 10.65 | 10.63 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 3.93 | 3.94 |
| Sweden | 0.58 | 0.63 | 10.8 | 10.78 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 4.39 | 4.40 |
| Switzerland | 0.56 | 0.59 | 10.82 | 10.8 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 4.40 | 4.41 |
| United States | 0.58 | 0.62 | 10.35 | 10.33 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 3.92 | 3.92 |
| Total | 0.61 | 0.66 | 10.56 | 10.54 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 4.04 | 4.05 |

Table 13: Simulated retirement by age

| | | | | | | <i>y</i> | | |
|---------|------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|----------|--------------|-------|
| Country | | <=54 | 55-59 | 60-64 | 65-69 | 70-74 | >=75 | Total |
| Austria | Simulated Predicted | | | | | | | |
| Belgium | Simulated Predicted | | | | | | 1.07 1.07 | |

| Denmark | Simulated | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.46 | 0.65 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 0.56 |
|---------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | Predicted | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.46 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 0.59 |
| France | Simulated | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.51 | 0.69 | 0.94 | 1.01 | 0.62 |
| | Predicted | 0.19 | 0.44 | 0.74 | 0.86 | 0.94 | 1.01 | 0.70 |
| Germany | Simulated | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.52 | 0.70 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 0.62 |
| | Predicted | 0.22 | 0.37 | 0.56 | 0.87 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 0.66 |
| Greece | Simulated | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.59 | 0.76 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 0.62 |
| | Predicted | 0.17 | 0.40 | 0.70 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 0.66 |
| Italy | Simulated | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.63 | 0.77 | 1.02 | 1.07 | 0.73 |
| | Predicted | 0.25 | 0.49 | 0.78 | 0.94 | 1.02 | 1.07 | 0.80 |
| Netherlands | Simulated | 0.25 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.77 | 1.04 | 1.09 | 0.66 |
| | Predicted | 0.25 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.94 | 1.04 | 1.09 | 0.68 |
| Spain | Simulated | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 0.71 |
| | Predicted | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.69 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 0.75 |
| Sweden | Simulated | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.61 | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.58 |
| | Predicted | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.78 | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.63 |
| Switzerland | Simulated | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.62 | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.56 |
| | Predicted | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.93 | 0.59 |
| United States | Simulated | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.55 | 0.81 | 0.89 | 0.58 |
| | Predicted | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.44 | 0.7 | 0.81 | 0.89 | 0.62 |
| Total | Simulated | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.63 | 0.88 | 0.94 | 0.61 |
| | Predicted | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.55 | 0.79 | 0.88 | 0.94 | 0.66 |

Table 14: Simulated Log-income by Age

| | | | | | | √ B- | | |
|---------|------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Country | | <=54 | 55-59 | 60-64 | 65-69 | 70-74 | >=75 | Total |
| Austria | Simulated Predicted | | | | | | | |
| Belgium | Simulated Predicted | | | | | | | |

| Denmark | Simulated | 12.48 | 11.91 | 11.41 | 10.85 | 10.22 | 9.38 | 11.06 |
|---------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| | Predicted | 12.48 | 11.91 | 11.41 | 10.8 | 10.22 | 9.38 | 11.05 |
| France | Simulated | 12.09 | 11.60 | 11.08 | 10.49 | 9.91 | 9.11 | 10.67 |
| | Predicted | 12.09 | 11.56 | 10.99 | 10.42 | 9.91 | 9.11 | 10.64 |
| Germany | Simulated | 11.93 | 11.52 | 10.94 | 10.37 | 9.86 | 9.02 | 10.61 |
| | Predicted | 11.93 | 11.52 | 10.93 | 10.30 | 9.86 | 9.02 | 10.59 |
| Greece | Simulated | 12.13 | 11.64 | 11.09 | 10.52 | 10.06 | 9.28 | 10.9 |
| | Predicted | 12.13 | 11.64 | 11.08 | 10.50 | 10.06 | 9.28 | 10.89 |
| Italy | Simulated | 12.15 | 11.64 | 11.12 | 10.60 | 10.08 | 9.38 | 10.74 |
| | Predicted | 12.15 | 11.62 | 11.07 | 10.55 | 10.08 | 9.38 | 10.72 |
| Netherlands | Simulated | 12.14 | 11.67 | 11.17 | 10.61 | 10.05 | 9.26 | 10.89 |
| | Predicted | 12.14 | 11.67 | 11.17 | 10.58 | 10.05 | 9.26 | 10.88 |
| Spain | Simulated | 12.13 | 11.67 | 11.11 | 10.61 | 10.07 | 9.35 | 10.65 |
| | Predicted | 12.13 | 11.67 | 11.08 | 10.57 | 10.07 | 9.35 | 10.63 |
| Sweden | Simulated | 12.37 | 11.86 | 11.36 | 10.78 | 10.15 | 9.27 | 10.80 |
| | Predicted | 12.37 | 11.86 | 11.32 | 10.71 | 10.15 | 9.27 | 10.78 |
| Switzerland | Simulated | 12.22 | 11.75 | 11.24 | 10.67 | 10.06 | 9.24 | 10.82 |
| | Predicted | 12.22 | 11.75 | 11.22 | 10.6 | 10.06 | 9.24 | 10.80 |
| United States | Simulated | 12.05 | 11.59 | 11.05 | 10.47 | 9.91 | 9.10 | 10.35 |
| | Predicted | 12.05 | 11.59 | 11.01 | 10.39 | 9.91 | 9.10 | 10.33 |
| Total | Simulated | 12.12 | 11.63 | 11.10 | 10.52 | 9.96 | 9.15 | 10.56 |
| | Predicted | 12.12 | 11.63 | 11.07 | 10.45 | 9.96 | 9.15 | 10.54 |

The effect on depression is generally modest. We note a slight uptick in France and Italy in the age group 60-64. Similarly the effect on life satisfaction is most visible in the 60-69 age range in France and Italy. The effects are most visible in the U.S. in the age range 65-60.

Table 15: Simulated Depression Rates by Age

| | | | | | | , 0 | | |
|---------|-----------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Country | | <=54 | 55-59 | 60-64 | 65-69 | 70-74 | >=75 | Total |
| Austria | Simulated | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.20 |
| | Predicted | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.19 |

| Belgium | Simulated | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.25 |
|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Predicted | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.25 |
| Denmark | Simulated | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.15 |
| | Predicted | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.14 |
| France | Simulated | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.33 |
| | Predicted | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.32 |
| Germany | Simulated | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.19 |
| | Predicted | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.19 |
| Greece | Simulated | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.20 |
| | Predicted | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.20 |
| Italy | Simulated | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.32 |
| | Predicted | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.32 |
| Netherlands | Simulated | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.2 | 0.18 |
| | Predicted | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.2 | 0.18 |
| Spain | Simulated | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.33 |
| | Predicted | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.36 | 0.33 |
| Sweden | Simulated | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.17 |
| | Predicted | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.16 |
| Switzerland | Simulated | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.16 |
| | Predicted | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.16 |
| United States | Simulated Predicted | 0.17 0.14 0.14 | 0.16 0.13 0.13 | 0.13 0.13 0.12 | 0.13 0.12 0.11 | 0.16 0.11 0.11 | 0.18 0.14 0.14 | 0.16 0.13 0.13 |
| Total | Simulated | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.18 |
| | Predicted | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.18 |

Table 16: Simulated Life Satisfaction by Age

| Country | | <=54 | 55-59 | 60-64 | 65-69 | 70-74 | >=75 | Total |
|---------|------------------------|------|--------------|-------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Austria | Simulated Predicted | | | | | | | |
| Belgium | Simulated Predicted | | 4.10 4.10 | | | 4.13 4.13 | 4.10 4.10 | 4.10 4.11 |

| Predicted 4.50 4.49 4.49 4.53 4.51 4.48 4.50 France Simulated 3.91 3.91 3.89 3.88 3.92 3.87 3.89 Predicted 3.91 3.93 3.93 3.91 3.92 3.87 3.91 Germany Simulated 4.06 4.06 4.06 4.07 4.13 4.06 4.08 Greece Simulated 3.81 3.80 3.79 3.75 3.77 3.73 3.78 Italy Simulated 3.96 3.94 3.91 3.91 3.89 3.91 Italy Simulated 3.96 3.94 3.91 3.91 3.89 3.91 Netherlands Simulated 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 Predicted 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.2 Spain Simulated 4.91 4.41 4.41 4.40 | Denmark | Simulated | 4.50 | 4.49 | 4.49 | 4.50 | 4.51 | 4.48 | 4.49 |
|---|------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|------|-------|-------------|
| Germany Simulated A.06 A.06 A.06 A.06 A.07 A.13 A.06 A.08 A.06 A.06 A.07 A.10 A.13 A.06 A.08 Greece Simulated J.81 J.80 J.80 J.80 J.80 J.80 J.80 J.80 J.80 | | Predicted | 4.50 | 4.49 | 4.49 | 4.53 | 4.51 | 4.48 | 4.50 |
| Germany Simulated A.06 A.06 A.06 A.06 A.07 A.13 A.06 A.08 A.06 A.06 A.07 A.10 A.13 A.06 A.08 Greece Simulated J.81 J.80 J.80 J.80 J.80 J.80 J.80 J.80 J.80 | r | G: 1 4 1 | 2.01 | 2.01 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.02 | 2.07 | 2.00 |
| Germany Simulated Predicted 4.06 4.06 4.06 4.07 4.10 4.13 4.06 4.08 Greece Simulated 3.81 3.80 3.79 3.75 3.77 3.73 3.73 3.79 Italy Simulated 3.96 3.94 3.91 3.91 3.91 3.89 3.91 3.93 Netherlands Simulated 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.23 4.20 4.18 4.2 Spain Simulated 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.96 3.95 3.94 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.94 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.41 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 4.41 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 4.41 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 4.41 4.41 4.41 4.41 4.41 4.41 | France | | | | | | | | |
| Greece Simulated Predicted 4.06 4.06 4.07 4.10 4.13 4.06 4.08 Greece Simulated Predicted 3.81 3.80 3.79 3.75 3.77 3.73 3.78 Italy Simulated Predicted 3.96 3.94 3.91 3.91 3.91 3.89 3.91 Netherlands Simulated Predicted 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 Spain Simulated Predicted 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.95 Sweden Simulated Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated Predicted 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.40 Witzerland Simulated Predicted 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.40 Witzerland Simulated Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 <td></td> <td>Predicted</td> <td>3.91</td> <td>3.93</td> <td>3.93</td> <td>3.91</td> <td>3.92</td> <td>3.87</td> <td>3.91</td> | | Predicted | 3.91 | 3.93 | 3.93 | 3.91 | 3.92 | 3.87 | 3.91 |
| Greece Simulated Predicted 4.06 4.06 4.07 4.10 4.13 4.06 4.08 Greece Simulated Predicted 3.81 3.80 3.79 3.75 3.77 3.73 3.78 Italy Simulated Predicted 3.96 3.94 3.91 3.91 3.91 3.89 3.91 Netherlands Simulated Predicted 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 Spain Simulated Predicted 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 3.94 3.94 3.94 3.94 3.94 3.94 3.94 3.94 3.94 3.94 3.94 3.94 3.94 | Germany | Simulated | 4 06 | 4 06 | 4 06 | 4 07 | 4 13 | 4 06 | 4 07 |
| Predicted 3.81 3.80 3.81 3.78 3.77 3.73 3.79 Italy Simulated Predicted 3.96 3.94 3.91 3.91 3.91 3.89 3.91 Netherlands Simulated 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 Predicted 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.23 4.20 4.18 4.2 Spain Simulated 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3 | o o mmuni | | | | | | | | |
| Predicted 3.81 3.80 3.81 3.78 3.77 3.73 3.79 Italy Simulated Predicted 3.96 3.94 3.91 3.91 3.91 3.89 3.91 Netherlands Simulated 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 Predicted 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.23 4.20 4.18 4.2 Spain Simulated 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| Italy Simulated Predicted 3.96 3.94 3.91 3.91 3.91 3.91 3.89 3.93 Netherlands Simulated 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.23 4.20 4.18 4.2 Spain Simulated 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.94 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.40 4.41 4.41 4.41 4.41 4.41 4.41 | Greece | Simulated | 3.81 | 3.80 | 3.79 | 3.75 | 3.77 | 3.73 | 3.78 |
| Predicted 3.96 3.95 3.94 3.94 3.91 3.89 3.93 Netherlands Simulated A.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 Predicted A.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 Spain Simulated 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 Predicted 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.96 3.95 3.95 3.94 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 <td></td> <td>Predicted</td> <td>3.81</td> <td>3.80</td> <td>3.81</td> <td>3.78</td> <td>3.77</td> <td>3.73</td> <td>3.79</td> | | Predicted | 3.81 | 3.80 | 3.81 | 3.78 | 3.77 | 3.73 | 3.79 |
| Predicted 3.96 3.95 3.94 3.94 3.91 3.89 3.93 Netherlands Simulated A.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 Predicted A.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 Spain Simulated 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 Predicted 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.96 3.95 3.95 3.94 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | | |
| Netherlands Simulated Predicted 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.20 4.20 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.23 4.20 4.18 4.2 Spain Simulated 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.94 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 4.03 4.04 | Italy | | | | | | | | |
| Predicted 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.23 4.20 4.18 4.2 Spain Simulated 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 Predicted 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.96 3.95 3.95 3.94 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | | Predicted | 3.96 | 3.95 | 3.94 | 3.94 | 3.91 | 3.89 | 3.93 |
| Predicted 4.18 4.19 4.19 4.23 4.20 4.18 4.2 Spain Simulated 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.95 3.95 3.93 Predicted 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.96 3.95 3.95 3.94 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | Natharlands | Simulated | 110 | <i>1</i> 10 | <i>1</i> 10 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 1 1 Q | <i>1</i> 10 |
| Spain Simulated Predicted 3.92 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.96 3.95 3.95 3.94 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 4.03 4.04 | recticitands | | | | | | | | |
| Predicted 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.96 3.95 3.95 3.94 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.40 Predicted 4.39 4.40 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | | Tredicted | 7.10 | T.17 | ч.17 | 7.23 | 7.20 | 7.10 | 7.2 |
| Predicted 3.92 3.92 3.93 3.96 3.95 3.95 3.94 Sweden Simulated 4.41 4.41 4.40 4.40 4.39 4.35 4.39 Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.40 Predicted 4.39 4.40 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | Spain | Simulated | 3.92 | 3.92 | 3.92 | 3.93 | 3.95 | 3.95 | 3.93 |
| Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.40 Predicted 4.39 4.40 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | • | Predicted | 3.92 | 3.92 | 3.93 | 3.96 | 3.95 | 3.95 | 3.94 |
| Predicted 4.41 4.41 4.42 4.43 4.39 4.35 4.40 Switzerland Simulated 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.40 Predicted 4.39 4.40 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | | | | | | | | | |
| Switzerland Simulated Predicted 4.39 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.40 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | Sweden | | 4.41 | 4.41 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.39 | 4.35 | 4.39 |
| Predicted 4.39 4.40 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | | Predicted | 4.41 | 4.41 | 4.42 | 4.43 | 4.39 | 4.35 | 4.40 |
| Predicted 4.39 4.40 4.41 4.43 4.42 4.40 4.41 United States Simulated 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | 0 1 1 | G: 1 4 1 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4 40 | 4.40 | 4.40 |
| United States Simulated Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.88 3.91 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | Switzerland | | | | | | | | |
| Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | | Predicted | 4.39 | 4.40 | 4.41 | 4.43 | 4.42 | 4.40 | 4.41 |
| Predicted 3.84 3.85 3.89 3.94 3.95 3.95 3.92 Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | United States | Simulated | 3 84 | 3.85 | 3 88 | 3 91 | 3 95 | 3 95 | 3 92 |
| Total Simulated 4.07 4.03 4.04 4.04 4.04 4.03 4.04 | Office States | | | | | | | | |
| | | Trancica | J.0 1 | 5.05 | 5.07 | J./ T | 5.75 | 5.75 | 5.74 |
| | Total | Simulated | 4.07 | 4.03 | 4.04 | 4.04 | 4.04 | 4.03 | 4.04 |
| Predicted 4.07 4.03 4.06 4.06 4.04 4.03 4.05 | | Predicted | 4.07 | 4.03 | 4.06 | 4.06 | 4.04 | 4.03 | 4.05 |

6. Concluding Remarks

We have estimated a simultaneous system of equations explaining the joint determination of retirement, income, depression, and life satisfaction. The system accounts for unobserved individual heterogeneity, by including fixed effects. Statistical tests show that omitting these

would lead to serious misspecification. To identify causal effects we have used variation in institutions across countries that influence retirement decisions and household incomes. Our main findings are that depressive symptoms are negatively related to retirement. In other words retirement reduces the probability of depression. At the same time, life satisfaction is positively related to retirement. Interestingly, income does not appear to play much of a role in the determination of depression or life satisfaction, once other factors are accounted for. This contrasts with the correlations in the raw data, which suggested that a higher income leads to higher life satisfaction and to fewer depressive symptoms.

As one would expect, household wealth, being married, and educational attainment, are all positively related to life satisfaction and reduce the probability of depression. Health conditions and difficulties with activities of daily living increase the probability of depression and reduce life satisfaction.

There is a rather long list of issues that merit further research. Two of these are methodological. Due to data limitations we have estimated static models. Once the 2012 wave of SHARE is available we should be able to estimate a dynamic model. Secondly, we have used linear probability models for the determination of retirement and of depression. Although, there is a fair amount of evidence that in practice it may not make a whole lot of difference, preferably these equations should be formulated in a limited dependent variable form, such as Probit.

On the substantive side, various improvements come to mind. One of these is related to data. Although the data on depression and on life satisfaction show some overlap, they are far from identical. Clearly the analysis could be more powerful if identical measures were available in both datasets. A second improvement can come from a more fine grained analysis of retirement incentives. We have used OECD net replacement rates for median earners at full

retirement. An obvious next step is to consider how these replacement rates are actuarially adjusted for earlier retirement ages. A third improvement we will investigate concerns the specification of the relationship between retirement and depression or life satisfaction. Rather than retirement status as an explanatory variable, we will consider specifications that have time since retirement on the right hand side to accommodate adaptation processes that may take time to play out after retirement.

References

- Blanchflower, D. G. and A. J. Oswald (2008). Is well-being u-shaped over the life cycle? *Social Science & Medicine* 66(8), 1733–1749.
- Bonsang, E. and T. J. Klein (2011). Retirement and subjective well-being. Netspar Discussion Paper 04/2010-012, Netspar, Tilburg.
- Calvo, E., Kelly Haverstick, and Steven A. Sass What makes retirees happier: A gradual or 'Cold Turkey' Retirement' CRR WP 2007-18
- Charles, K.K. (2004). "Is Retirement Depressing?: Labor Force Inactivity and Psychological Well-Being in Later Life", *Research in Labor Economics*, 23: 269 299.
- Clark A. and Oswald, A.J. (1994) Unhappiness and unemployment, Economic Journal, 104, 648-59.
- Clark, A.E. and Y. Fawaz (2009): Valuing jobs via retirement: European évidence, *National Institute Economic Review*, 209, 88-103.
- Coe, N. B. & Zamarro, G. (2011). "Retirement Effects on Health in Europe," *Journal of Health Economics*, 30: 77-86.
- Dave, D., Rashad, I., & Spasojevic, J. (2008). "The effects of retirement on physical and mental health outcomes", *Southern Economic Journal*, 75: 497 523.
- De Ree, J. and R. Alessie (2011). Life satisfaction and age: Dealing with underidentification in age-period-cohort models. Social Science and Medicine 73(1), 177–182.
- Fonseca, R., Kapteyn A., Lee, J. & Zamarro, G. (2014). "A Longitudinal Study of Well-Being of Older Europeans: Does Retirement Matter?" *Journal of Population Aging* 7: 21-41.
- Grip, A., Lindeboom, M., & Montizaan, R. (2012). "Shattered dreams: the effects of changing the pension system late in the game", *Economic Journal* 122: 1-25.
- Johnston, D.W., &. Lee, W.S. (2009). Retiring to the good life? The short-term effects of retirement on health, *Economics Letters*, 103 (1): p. 8-11.



1130, rue Sherbrooke Ouest, bureau 1400, Montréal (Québec) H3A 2M8

Tél.:514-985-4000 • Téléc.:514-985-4039

www.cirano.qc.ca • info@cirano.qc.ca

Centre interuniversitaire de recherche en analyse des organisations
Center for Interuniversity Research and Analysis on Organizations

- Lee J. (2007). "Harmonization of Ageing Surveys and Cross-national Studies of Ageing". National Institute of Aging. Behavioral and Social Research Program. < http://www.nia.nih.gov/sites/default/files/meeting-report_5.pdf >
- Lee, J., & Smith, J. (2009). Work, retirement, and depression, *Journal of Population and Aging*, 2: 57 71.
- Lucas, R.E., A.E. Clark, Y. Georgellis, and E. Diener (2004): Unemployment alters the setpoint for life satisfaction, *Psychological Science*, 15(1), 8–13.
- Mein, G., Martikainen, P., Hemingway, H., Stansfeld, S., & Marmot, M. (2003).. Is retirement good or bad for mental and physical health functioning? Whitehall II longitudinal study of civil servants, *Journal of Epidemiology And Community Health*, 2 57 (1): p. 46-49.
- Okasanen, T., Vahtera, J., Westerlund, H., Pentti, J., Sjösten, N., Virtanen, M., Kawachi, I., Kivimäki, M. (2011). Is retirement beneficial for mental health?: Antidepressant use before and after retirement, *Epidemiology*, 22 (4): 560 562.
- Pinquart and Schindle (2007) Changes of Life Satisfaction in the Transition to Retirement: A Latent-Class Approach, *Psychology and Aging*, Vol. 22 n.3, 442-455.
- Salokanga, R.K., & Joukamaa, M. (1991). Physical and mental health changes in retirement age, *Psychotherapy and Phychosomatics*, 55 (2 4): 100 107.
- Szinovacz, ME, & Davey, A. (2004). Retirement transitions and spouse disability: Effects on depressive symptoms. *Journal of Gerontology B: Psychology*, 59(6):S333-42.
- van Landeghem, B. (2012). A test for the convexity of human well-being over the life cycle: Longitudinal evidence from a 20-year panel. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization 81(2), 571 582.
- Winkelmann, L. and R. Winkelmann (1998), Why are the Unemployed so Unhappy? Evidence from Panel Data, *Economica*, 65, 1-15.